UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,803	07/14/2003	Linda Najdek	98.22US-CON	5789
Estee Lauder Co	7590 07/18/200 ompanies	EXAMINER		
125 Pinelawn R Melville, NY 1	toad	FISHER, ABIGAIL L		
wierville, IVI I	1/4/		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,803	NAJDEK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ADIGAIL FISHER	1010	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aban t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>6</u> months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i. on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on ortened statutory period for reply origin	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	e extension fee te extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 June 2008</u>. A brief ir date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	7(e)), to avoid dismiss	al of the appeal.
	ut prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	 See attached Notice of Non-Cor 	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has beer	n considered but does NOT place t	the application in cond	ition for
allowance because:			
See Continuation Sheet.	OTO/SB/09) Banar Na/a) 6/23/09		
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I Other: 	- 10/36/06) Faper NO(S). <u>0/23/08</u>		
13. [] Other			
	/Mina Haghighatian/ Primarv Examiner. Art U	nit 1616	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for the reasons stated in the Office action mailed on December 28 2007. Applicants argue that (1) that the '155 reference suggest that the protective colloid would not be needed for relatively small amounts such as that amount exemplified in '496 of 0.05%. Applicants further argue that there is no disclosure or suggestion that introducing substantial amount of pigment would be desirable. Applicants argue that (2) introduction of a further stabilizing/dispersing agent is counterintuitive and that adding these sort of ingredients would negatively affect the properties of the '496 composition, in particular the demixing capability of the composition. Applicants argue that (3) the effectiveness of the cationic polymer is slight and that the instant invention and the '758 reference rapidly mix and demix. Applicant argues that (4) formulating a stable emulsion is a formidable task and that without some predication as to the behavior of that ingredient in stable emulsion that the incorporation of that ingredient would not upset the delicate balance achieved in the stable emulsion. Regarding applicants' first argument, the '496 references indicates that colorants, which are common in the art can be added. While they only exemplify one percentage this does not mean that only one percentage is acceptable. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize the amount of colorant added depending on the desired color of the product. As taught by the '155 reference, when incorporating higher amounts (1% or greater) of pigment it is advantageous to add a protective colloid to maintain the pigment in suspension. Therefore, one of ordinary skill would have been motivated to add this component. Regarding applicants' second argument, it is unclear to the examiner how the addition of the protective colloid is counterintuitive. This component is added to a dual phase composition to maintain the pigment in suspension. It is unclear how maintaining the pigment in suspension affects the compositions ability to demix. "The arguments of counsel cannot take the place of evidence in the record." In re Schulze, 346 F.2d 600, 145 USPW 716, 718 (CCPA 1965), In re Huang, 40 USPQ 2d 1685 (Fed. Cir. 1996), In re De Blauwe et al., 222 USPQ 191, (Fed. Cir. 1984). Applicant has not proved any factual evidence establishing unobviousness. Regarding applicants' third argument, the '758 utilizes cationic surfactants as the demixing agents, therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation that the cationic polymers of the '095 reference would behave the same way when incorporated into the '758 reference. Regarding applicants' fourth argument, the '758 reference clearly indicates that cationic surfactants can be utilized in the invention, therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would not expect that they would upset the delicate balance achieved in a stable emulsion. Furthermore, applicants' have not provided any factual evidence that the incorporation of the cationic polymers of '095 into the composition of '758 would result in an unstable composition...